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#### Abstract

Complex eigenvalues, resonances, play an important role in a large variety of fields in physics and chemistry. For example, in cold molecular collision experiments and electron scattering experiments, autoionizing and predissociative metastable resonances are generated. However, the computation of complex resonance requires modifications of standard electronic structure codes and methods, which are not always straightforward, in addition, application of complex codes requires more computational efforts. Here we show how resonance eigenvalues, positions and widths, can be calculated using the standard, widely used, electronic-structure packages. Our method enables the calculations of the complex resonance eigenvalues by using analytical continuation procedures (such as Padé). The key point in our approach is the existence of narrow analytical passages from the real axis to the complex energy plane. In fact, the existence of these analytical passages relies on using finite basis sets. These  passages become narrower as the basis set becomes more complete, whereas in the exact limit, these passages to the complex plane are closed. As illustrative numerical examples we calculated the autoionization Feshbach resonances of helium, hydrogen anion, and hydrogen molecule. We show that our results are in an excellent agreement with the results obtained by other theoretical methods and with available experimental results.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Motivation. One of the biggest challenges of electronic structure calculations is to take autoionization into consideration. Autoionization is a process in which an electronic metastable state decays through spontaneous emission of an electron. Such a state has a finite lifetime, it is known as a resonance and it is not part of the Hilbert space. Therefore, it is more difficult to calculate its energy, relative to a bound state. The possibility that in a specific geometrical structure the molecule can be autoionized implies that the electronic and the nuclei coordinates are coupled. In such a case, the BornOppenhiemer (BO) approximation breaks down completely, making the electronic structure computation much harder. A possible solution to the problem is to impose outgoing boundary conditions on the eigenfunctions of the time independent electronic Hamiltonian within the framework of the BO approximation. In this way, complex potential energy surfaces (CPESs) are obtained. The real and the imaginary parts of the CPES provide, respectively, the energy (position) and the autoionization decay rate (width or inverse lifetime) of a molecule as a function of its geometry. CPESs can be obtained by using complex basis functions, ${ }^{1}$ analytical continuation of the Hamiltonian's matrix elements ${ }^{2}$ or one of the complex scaling transformations, such as the uniform, ${ }^{3}$ exterior ${ }^{4}$ or smooth exterior scaling. ${ }^{5}$ Alternatively, CPESs can
be obtained by introducing a complex absorbing potential (CAP) ${ }^{6,7}$ or a reflection-free CAP (RF-CAP) to the Hamiltonian. ${ }^{8}$

Essentially, the complex electronic eigenvalues obtained within the BO picture serve as potentials of the nuclear timeindependent Schrödinger equation. In other words, by using the complex electronic eigenvalues there is no need to go beyond the BO approximation, because they introduce couplings between the nuclear and the electronic coordinates in a simple way. This holds also when several resonances exist but do not overlap. Still, although this idea was presented many years ago, ${ }^{9}$ so far it has not become a widespread approach for solving the dynamics of metastable polyatomic molecules. Unfortunately, the reason for this lies in the fact that most of the commercially used codes do not support the above modifications, rendering the computation of complex potential energy surfaces an unconventional task. However, the need for reliable CPESs is a must in large variety of fields in chemistry and molecular physics, in which quantum mechanical dynamics
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of molecules is of interest. A good example for this acute need is the most recent cold chemistry ${ }^{10}$ and electron scattering experiments. ${ }^{11}$ Recently, there were serious efforts to develop codes for calculating CPESs. ${ }^{8,12-16}$ Yet, it is most desirable to have a simple approach that uses standard electronic structure codes to calculate CPESs, because these codes are highly optimized and very efficient.

Here, we propose a simple method of calculating CPESs, which utilizes standard electronic structure codes without modifying them. This approach is based on an analytical continuation of results obtained in the real space into the complex plane. There are many different approaches to carry out analytical continuation from the real to the complex plane. Several of which are briefly described in the next section. In our approach analytical continuation of a single real eigenvalue from the stabilization graph into the complex plane is performed. This idea is not new and it faced criticism in the past because the whole eigenvalue plot is not an analytical function of the scaling parameter. ${ }^{1 /}$ However, the way we implement this idea avoids this problem by not using the whole stabilizations plot but only an analytical part of it.
1.2. Background. As mentioned above, complex energy surfaces are the electronic eigenvalues obtained within the BO picture. Their real and imaginary parts correspond respectively to the energy position and width. These eigenvalues represent metastable states with a finite lifetime, resonances. According to the Balslev and Combes theorem, atomic autoionization resonances becomes square integrable functions by applying uniform complex scaling (UCS) transformation of the form $\mathbf{r}$ $\rightarrow \mathbf{r} \eta$, where $\mathbf{r}$ is the electronic coordinates and $\eta$ is the scaling parameter defined as $\eta=\alpha \exp (\mathrm{i} \theta)$ (and $\alpha$ and $\theta$ are real)..$^{3,18-20}$ To implement Balslev and Combes theorem in a molecular system, Simon proposed the use of an exterior scaling transformation that avoids the singularities in the BO molecular Hamiltonian, ${ }^{4}$ which is analogous to the numerical procedures presented in refs 1,2 , and 21 . In both atomic and molecular transformations the Hamiltonian's spectrum is changing: while the bound states are unaffected and are characterized by real eigenvalues, the continuum states are rotated into the complex plane by an angle of $2 \theta$; i.e., these complex eigenvalues strongly depend on $\theta .{ }^{5}$ In addition, the autoionization resonances, characterized by complex eigenvalues, appear in the spectrum. The first time they are exposed is at a critical value of the rotation angle $\theta=\theta_{\mathrm{BP} .}{ }^{22}$ At this critical value a branch point ( BP ) is obtained in the spectrum of the complex scaled Hamiltonian (see Figure 3 in ref 19). However, as $\theta$ increases the autoionization resonances stabilize and show low dependency on $\theta$, meaning that stationary points in the complex energy plane are obtained.

There are a few early approaches for calculating the resonances position and width from standard hermitian electronic structure calculations. For example, resonances can be approximated from the density of states in the continuum, they can be calculated from the asymptotes of the continuum eigenfunctions or from the phase shifts of the eigenfunctions (see chapter 3 in ref 5). Alternatively, the resonances can be obtained from stabilization calculations where the eigenvalues are computed for an increased number of basis functions ${ }^{23}$ or when finite given basis functions are scaled by a real factor. ${ }^{24,25}$

Here we propose to analytically dilate the real energies into the complex plane via the Pade approximant. At its basis this approach is also not new: In 1981 Simons used the stabilization calculations and suggested to carry out a unitary transformation
from the adiabatic energy levels to the diabatic presentation. ${ }^{26}$ In the diabatic representation the electronic energy levels are coupled, unlike in the adiabatic picture, where the electronic energies are represented as noninteracting states. Therefore, in the adiabatic picture avoided crossings are obtained, whereas in the diabatic picture crossings are exposed in the complex plane. These crossings represent the BPs, and their exposure facilitates locating the nearby stationary points (the resonance energy).

An even simpler approach was introduced by Thompson and Truhlar ${ }^{27}$ and later modified by Isaacson and Truhlar. ${ }^{28}$ Under this approach the resonance complex energy is obtained by analytically continuing a single eigenvalue into the complex plane, to this end the whole stabilization curve is used. However, as McCurdy and McNutt ${ }^{17}$ pointed out, analytic continuation of a single-root fails due to the existence of nonanalytic regions in the eigenvalue plot. Note that this important claim holds only when the analytical continuation is carried out from the region in the stabilization graph(s) which mainly focuses on the avoided crossing region. Hence, McCurdy and McNutt suggested a multieigenvalue method. They carried out analytical continuation of the characteristic polynomials of the Hamiltonian matrix using at least two eigenvalues (see section 2.3). Doing so, they avoided the nonanalyticity of the corresponding eigenvalues and located stationary points. In fact, McCurdy with McNutt opened a new research direction for calculating resonances from stabilization graphs. This multieigenvalue method is indeed pursued until today ${ }^{29,30}$ and it relies on the correct description of the avoided crossings.

Nevertheless, in this paper we show that under easy to fulfill conditions, resonances can be accurately calculated from a single stabilization root. This is provided we carry out the analytical continuation from data points on the stabilization graph that focus on the stable regions rather than the avoided crossings. This implies that we do not need to implement the BP structure in the analytical continuation as done before. ${ }^{28,30}$ Let us explain this point in some detail. In refs 28 and 30 resonances were calculated from a single root using analytical continuation. These analytical continuation schemes take into consideration the BP structure, and the data points for the analytical dilation must include the avoided crossing region in real space (which is in the neighborhood of a BP in the complex plane). On the contrary, the method introduced here avoids the BP by using input data from the stabilization graph that focus on the stable region. Therefore, we can carry out analytical continuation of a single energy root using the Padé approximant and do not impose explicitly the BP structure. It was demonstrated before that the Pade method used here enables the calculations of BPs and, therefore, includes implicitly the information on the BP structure (see for example ref 31 ). Furthermore, in ref 28 it was stated that their singleroot method is not as accurate as McCurdy and McNutt's multiroot methods, and in ref 30 it is argued that including multiroots in the analytical continuation introduces numerical stability relative to a single-root approach. On the contrary, as we will show, the method we present here enables very accurate calculations of resonance positions and widths from a single root. Again, this is provided we only use data from the stabilization graph that focus on the stable region rather than the avoided crossing region. We illustrate below that we can always remain in an analytic area, and eventually converge to a stationary point in the complex plane. This converged energy is


Figure 1. Energy stabilization plots for (a) the helium $2 s^{2}$ resonance state (b) the hydrogen molecular $1 \sigma_{\mathrm{u}}^{2}$ resonance state ( $R=1.4 \mathrm{au}$ ). The stabilization is obtained by varying the real scaling parameter, $\alpha$. The red and green areas in (a) represent the two regions that were used as a starting point for the two analytical continuations. For $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ only one stable region, marked in red in (b), was used for the analytical continuation.
in an excellent agreement with the eigenvalue obtained by an explicit complex scaling calculations.

The proposed analytical continuation scheme resembles Moiseyev's and Corcoran's suggestion to treat molecular resonances within the BO picture by evaluating the molecular Hamiltonian matrix elements. ${ }^{2}$ These matrix elements are analytical functions of the scaling factor, even though the operator is not, because the contour of their integration in the complex plane can be chosen to be such that avoids the singular points. ${ }^{1,2,21,32,33}$ Therefore, they can easily be analytically continued to the complex plane. ${ }^{1,2}$ In a similar way, we demonstrate here the existence of an analytical path from the stabilization graph toward a complex stationary point. This path bypasses any BP, thus avoiding any singularity. The existence of such a path results from using a finite basis set, which is a compulsory, this critical point is elaborated in the next section.

## 2. THEORETICAL SCHEME

2.1. Resonances from Square Integrable Basis Functions: Complete vs Finite Bases. Upon complex scaling, autoionization resonances become square integrable functions; therefore, they are exposed as eigenfunctions in the Hilbert space. When the complex scaling parameter is defined as $\eta=\alpha \exp (\mathrm{i} \theta)$, the continuum states are strongly dependent on $\theta$; however, in the exact limit, they are invariant to $\alpha{ }^{5}$ That is, when a complete basis set is used, the continuum states stay fixed as $\alpha$ is varied. ${ }^{3,18-20}$

On the contrary, in actual calculations, when incomplete and finite basis sets are employed, the continuum spectrum does vary with respect to $\alpha$. An example for this behavior is seen in Figure 1. In this figure the relevant energy levels of the helium atom and the hydrogen molecule are plotted as a function of $\alpha$. In both cases a finite number of Gaussians were used as a basis set in the calculations (further computational details are given below) and it is clear that the states depend on $\alpha$. This dependency can be utilized to calculate the resonance position and width. To do so, one must first identify the resonance footprints in the stabilization plot. In Figure 1 these footprints are clear: while continuum energies change strongly with respect to $\alpha$, one specific energy seems to stay fixed and emerges out of the plot. This energy corresponds to the
resonance position that is not highly affected by the variation of the scaling factor. The reason for this stabilization is clear: the resonance wave function is localized in space. Therefore, relatively small expansion or compression of the basis functions does not have much effect on it. In contrast, the other states are associated with delocalized functions and therefore are strongly affected by the scaling factor.

Although the resonance position emerges out of the stabilization plot, its width is harder to extract. ${ }^{34}$ One way to obtain its width is to move into the complex plane. There, the resonance is square integrable and appears as a complex eigenvalue of the Hamiltonian. The imaginary part of this eigenvalue corresponds to the resonance width. One easy method to move into the complex plane is by analytical continuation from the stabilization plot. ${ }^{17,26-30}$ However, this continuation should be done with caution because an avoided crossing in the stabilization plot is associated with singularity, a BP in the complex plane. This singularity is caused due to the fact that as the resonance becomes square integrable, it separates from the continuum. At this critical point, the resonance and the rotating continuum coalesce in the complex plane. This causes the spectrum to be deficient and thus nonanalytic.

The existence of an avoided crossing in the stabilization graph raises difficulties, and analytically continuing a single eigenvalue can fail to accurately find a stationary point in the complex plane. ${ }^{17}$ For this reason, McCurdy and McNutt ${ }^{17}$ and later Jordan and co-workers ${ }^{29,30}$ used at least two eigenvalues to obtain a truncated characteristic polynomial of the Hamiltonian. Then, they performed analytical continuation of the polynomial coefficients instead of the eigenvalue (see section 2.3). Yet, because finite basis sets are always employed, there is a way to analytical continue a single eigenvalue into the complex plane. The "trick" is to focus on a small part of the stabilization plot, which is a relatively stable region, instead of the avoided crossing. This region, which appears to be nearly linear, actually has a relatively low curvature, as opposed to the high curvature that characterizes the avoided crossing region. This small region is a perfect starting point for the desired analytical dilation into the complex plane because it contains enough information.

It is important to stress that this "trick" is only applicable in a finite basis set. Under an infinite basis set it is impossible to carry out analytical continuation of an eigenvalue into the complex plane. As the basis set approaches completeness, the number of avoided crossings increases, and thus the singularity area in the complex plane increases too. That is, there is no way to analytically dilate a single eigenvalue and avoid the BP.

In the next section, a comprehensive discussion and illustration are presented explaining why when finite basis sets are used, it is possible to bypass the singularity and find an analytical route toward the desired stationary point. Moreover, it is shown that when finite basis sets are used, it is actually very difficult pinpoint the exact location of a BP let alone pass through it. ${ }^{22,35}$
2.2. Finite Basis Set as a Means To Bypass the Branch Point. As previously discussed, the resonance position and width can be obtained by moving into the complex plane using the complex scaling parameter $\eta=\alpha \exp (\mathrm{i} \theta)$. In the complex plane, the resonances are recognized as complex energies that are not affected by a small change in $\alpha$ and $\theta$, i.e., stationary points. Graphically, we identify them as cusps in the $\theta$ - or $\alpha$ trajectories plots. Such stationary points are located close to the BP but are always deeper into the complex plane (see Figure 2 in ref 17 and Figure 2 in ref 22). Another good example for this is given in Figure 2, where $\theta$-trajectories calculations for the $2 \mathrm{~s}^{2}$


Figure 2. Complex eigenvalues of a nonhermitian helium Hamiltonian matrix obtained by the use of UCS, $\eta=\alpha \exp (\mathrm{i} \theta)$ where $\theta$ is varied and $\alpha$ is held fixed. Three $\theta$-trajectories are presented with different $\alpha$ values. The $\alpha_{\mathrm{BP}}$-trajectory is presented in black and includes also the BP (pink). The green arrows represent the direction of the $\theta$ trajectories from zero by 0.001 radians increments. Note that the rotational angle $\theta_{\mathrm{BP}}$, in which the BP is obtained, is much smaller than the value of $\theta$ at the stationary point. In these UCS calculations a finite Gaussians basis set is used.
resonance state of helium are presented. In these trajectories, $\alpha$ is held fixed and the rotational angle, $\theta$, is increased from zero (the hermitian case). As indicated in the black $\theta$-trajectory, the rotational angle $\theta=\theta_{\mathrm{BP}}$, in which the BP is obtained, is much smaller than the value of $\theta$ at the stationary point. That is, to locate a stationary point by varying $\theta$ from the real axis, it is necessary to go through a BP. Theoretically, this feature is a problem when one tries to find the stationary point through analytical continuation; however, as clearly seen in Figure 2, in practice it is not. In this figure, two additional trajectories are
plotted. These blue and red trajectories reach the stationary point smoothly without passing through a BP. In other words, contrary to the complete basis set case, in which the BP is $\alpha$ independent, when a finite basis set is used, the BP is obtained for a very specific value of $\alpha=\alpha_{\mathrm{BP}}$ (as shown in Figure 2). As a matter of fact, it is very hard to pinpoint the exact location of a BP and there are specific methods developed to locate it. ${ }^{22,35}$ Here, for example, the BP parameters, $\theta_{\mathrm{BP}}$ and $\alpha_{\mathrm{BP}}$, were found using such a method. ${ }^{35}$ However, these parameters are never fully exact but rather a mere estimate to the real values. To sum it up, for finite basis sets, the fact that the BP is obtained only for very specific values of $\alpha$ and $\theta$ is an advantage.

In light of the above, the resonance stationary point can be found via analytical continuation of the real eigenvalue from the stabilization plot into the complex plane. This is examined below by comparing atomic and molecular resonance positions and widths obtained by the proposed analytical continuation with the results obtained by explicit UCS methods.

Below a mathematical demonstration is given for the claim that in a finite basis set analytical continuation to the complex plane is feasible. It is based on the fact that $\alpha$ is always different than the exact $\alpha_{\mathrm{BP}}$.

Sufficiently close to the BP where the stationary point lies ( $\alpha$ $=\alpha_{\mathrm{SP}}$ ), the complex eigenvalues associated with the resonance and continuum states are given by the leading terms in the Puiseux series ${ }^{5,22}$

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{ \pm} & \cong E_{\mathrm{BP}} \pm b \sqrt{\eta-\eta_{\mathrm{BP}}} \\
& =E_{\mathrm{BP}} \pm b \sqrt{\alpha_{\mathrm{SP}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}-\alpha_{\mathrm{BP}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta_{\mathrm{BP}}}} \\
& =E_{\mathrm{BP}} \pm b \sqrt{\eta_{\mathrm{BP}}}\left(\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{SP}}}{\alpha_{\mathrm{BP}}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta-\mathrm{i} \theta_{\mathrm{BP}}}-1\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, coalescence of two solutions can only occur when

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(\theta)=\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{SP}}}{\alpha_{\mathrm{BP}}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta-\mathrm{i} \theta_{\mathrm{BP}}}-1 \rightarrow 0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, it is clear that a coalescence is an unlikely scenario, particularly when $\theta=\theta_{\mathrm{BP}}$. That is, because $\alpha_{\mathrm{SP}} \neq \alpha_{\mathrm{BP}}$ the eigenvalue associated with the stationary point is an analytical function of $\theta$.
2.3. Analytical Continuation via the Pade Approximant: From Real Eigenvalues to the Complex Energy
Plane. There is no unique way to carry out analytical continuation from the real energy to the complex energy plane within the method presented here. In principle, the calculations of the all order derivatives of the real energy with respect to a real scaling parameter of the basis functions $\eta, \frac{\mathrm{d} E^{n}}{\mathrm{~d} \eta^{n}}$, enable one to obtain the Taylor series expansion,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(\eta)=E\left(\eta_{0}\right)+\sum_{n} a_{n}\left(\eta-\eta_{0}\right)^{n} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $E(\eta)$ is an analytical function of $\eta$, it implies that the Taylor series expansion is converged also when $\eta$ gets complex values. That is, after the calculations of the coefficients in the Taylor series expansion of $E(\eta)$ using data from the real stabilization graph we can substitute in the Taylor expansion a complex values of $\eta$. The difficulty in such a procedure rises form the need to accurately calculate high-order derivatives of the real energy with respect to the real scaling parameter.


Figure 3. Exact and analytically dilated energy stabilization plots for ( $a, c$ ) the helium $2 s^{2}$ resonance state and (b,d) the hydrogen molecular $1 \sigma_{u}^{2}$ resonance state ( $R=1.4 \mathrm{au}$ ). The dilated graphs are generated via Padé using the input data marked in red. In (a) and (b) the input data are taken from the stable part of the stabilization, whereas in (c) and (d) the input data are taken from the high curvature regions.

To avoid the need to calculate the high-order derivatives, one can use the Pade approximant. In this approximation, one fits the real energy obtained from stabilization calculations as a function of a real scaling parameter to a ratio between two polynomials

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(\eta)=\frac{P(\eta)}{Q(\eta)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Padé approximant was successfully used before to avoid divergence of the complex scaled cross sections, ${ }^{36}$ and to remove the artificial CAPs that were introduced in the numerical calculations. ${ }^{37}$ In previous analytical continuation studies, where the BP has been taken explicitly into consideration, a polynomial form, such as $E^{2}+p(\eta) E+q(\eta)$ $=0$, had been used. ${ }^{17,28-30}$ In these works, analytical continuation of the coefficients $p(\eta)$ and $q(\eta)$, instead of the eigenvalue, was performed. The reason for using this polynomial instead of eq 4 is the nonanalyticity of the energy in the avoided crossing regions.

It is interesting to see that when the focus is not on the avoided crossing regions, excellent results are obtained by analytically dilating the energy eigenvalue using eq 4. To illustrate this point, Figure 3 presents the dilated stabilization plots (violet) obtained from data taken from different regions (red) in the exact stabilization graph (black). Figures 3a, 3c and
$3 \mathrm{~b}, 3 \mathrm{~d}$ correspond to the helium $2 \mathrm{~s}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} 1 \sigma_{\mathrm{u}}^{2}$ resonances, respectively. In Figures 3a and 3b the dilated stabilizations are obtained from data in the stable regions, whereas in Figurea 3c and 3 d the data are taken from the relatively high curvature regions that include the avoided crossings. Clearly, the dilated plots originated from the stable regions reproduce the correct structure of the exact stabilization graphs, whereas the plots originating from the high curvatures regions do not. This indicates that these stable regions contain the relevant information, and that it is possible not to consider the BPs structure (associated with avoided crossings) as done previously. ${ }^{17,28-30}$

In practice, we generate an analytical approximation to $E(\eta)$ by the Schlessinger point method. ${ }^{38}$ This method requires a set of $M$ input variables and their corresponding values. In our case, we select $\eta=\alpha \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}$ as the input variable, or more precisely $\eta$ $=\alpha$ (where $\theta=0$ ), and $E(\alpha)$ as the corresponding value. The input data, $E(\alpha)$, are taken from the relatively stable region in the stabilization plot, which excludes the avoided crossing. For example, to calculate the $2 s^{2}$ resonance state of helium, we used the red or green regions in the stabilization plot shown in Figure 1a. That is, each region (red or green) is used as a starting point for a different calculation. The Schlessinger truncated continued fraction has the form


Figure 4. Helium $2 s^{2}$ resonance stationary points and the corresponding $\alpha$ - and $\theta$-trajectories. (a) Stationary point energies for the $2 s^{2}$ resonance. Empty triangles represent stationary points obtained by UCS, and the full triangles are stationary points obtained by our analytical continuation. Each triangle correspond to the cusps in (b), (c), and (d). (b) $\alpha$ - and $\theta$-trajectories obtained from our analytical continuation scheme starting from region 2 in Figure 1a (green lines) and the corresponding UCS calculations (purple lines). (c) $\alpha$ - and $\theta$-trajectories obtained by our analytical continuation scheme starting from region 1 in Figure 1a. (d) $\alpha$ - and $\theta$-trajectories obtained from the UCS calculations that correspond to (c). In (b) a clear cusp is seen, indicating one stationary point. In (c) and (d) two cusps are seen (one in black and the other in red), indicating two stationary points. Every stationary point is marked with a blue arrow. In (b), (c), and (d) the closed and open circles correspond to the $\alpha$ - and $\theta$-trajectories, respectively.

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{M}(\alpha)=\frac{E\left(\alpha_{1}\right)}{1+\frac{z_{1}\left(\alpha-\alpha_{1}\right)}{1+\frac{z_{2}\left(\alpha-\alpha_{2}\right)}{\frac{z_{M}\left(\alpha-\alpha_{M}\right)}{}}}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $z_{i}$ coefficients are chosen such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{M}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=E\left(\alpha_{i}\right) \quad i=1,2, \ldots, M \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Once the $z_{i}$ coefficients are determined, we perform an analytical continuation into the complex plane by evaluating $C_{M}(\eta)$ (where $\eta$ is complex, i.e., $\theta \neq 0$ ). Convergence of the extrapolated function, $C_{M}(\eta)$, with respect to $M$ is routinely checked, and the difference between $C_{M}(\eta)$ and $C_{M-1}(\eta)$ is reported as the Padé error.

The procedure we propose is summarized as follows:
(1) Calculate a stabilization plot using a standard electronicstructure approach as in Figure 1.
(2) Use the points in a stabilized region as input for the Schlessinger point method. Remember to exclude the avoided crossings like the colored regions in Figure 1.
(3) Fit the selected points to a Padé polynomial by making sure that this polynomial reproduce the original values for the input variables.
(4) Perform analytical continuation in the real plane (i.e., with $\theta=0$ ) to make sure an appropriate set of points was chosen. A too dense set of input points will damage the performance of the Padé approximant and will end up in a linear extrapolated plot that is almost independent of $\alpha$.
(5) Perform analytical continuation into the complex plane: generate a $\theta$-trajectory with a fixed $\alpha$. The fixed $\alpha$ should be taken from its values in the stable region of the stabilization plot.
(6) Look for an optimal $\theta$ value in which a cusp is seen in the $\theta$-trajectory.
(7) Calculate an $\alpha$-trajectory using the optimal $\theta$ found in step 6. Look for the optimal $\alpha$ in which a cusp is seen in the $\alpha$-trajectory.
(8) Repeat steps 5-7 until the optimal $\alpha$ and $\theta$ are converged. Upon convergence, a clear cusp is obtained in both $\alpha$ - and $\theta$-trajectories. Both cusps will touch each
other (e.g., Figure 7). These cusps are associated with a stationary point that satisfy $\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} E(\eta) \rightarrow 0$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} E(\eta) \rightarrow 0 .{ }^{5}$
Check the Pad
(9) Check the Pade errors of the stationary point and make sure it is reasonable.
(10) It is recommended to repeat the process with a slightly different set of input points to examine the stability of the stationary point.
This procedure is very easy and quick. The only time and computational consuming step is step 1 . That is, this procedure is much simpler than actual electronic-structure calculations inside the complex plane.

## 3. COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

In this work we study the Feshbach resonance states of the helium atom, the $\mathrm{H}^{-}$anion, and the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ molecule with the leading $2 s^{2}, 2 s^{2}$, and $1 \sigma_{u}^{2}$ configurations, respectively. In all cases we reach the full configuration interaction (FCI) limit. For helium we use our own CI code for two-electron atoms and ions including complex scaling transformation. ${ }^{39}$ For $\mathrm{H}^{-}$and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ we use the standard equation-of-motion couple-cluster with singles and doubles (EOM-CCSD) for excitation energies implemented in the Q-Chem package. ${ }^{40}$ The ground states, which play the role of the reference states, are $1 \mathrm{~s}^{2}$ for helium and $\mathrm{H}^{-}$and $1 \sigma_{\mathrm{g}}^{2}$ for $\mathrm{H}_{2}$.

The basis sets employed are composed of primitive Gaussian functions because they can be scaled trivially. UCS is performed by rotating the Gaussians basis functions by $\mathbf{r} \rightarrow \mathbf{r} \eta$, where $\mathbf{r}$ is the Gaussian coordinate and $\eta$ is the scaling parameter defined as $\eta=\alpha \exp (\mathrm{i} \theta)$ ( $\alpha$ and $\theta$ are real). ${ }^{3,18,19}$ Stabilizations are obtained by scaling the Gaussians when $\theta$ is set to zero.

For helium we use a 19 s 15 p 10d $8 f(19 / 15 / 10 / 8)$ series of exponentially tempered basis functions. ${ }^{39}$ The basis set is optimized for calculating the helium states with the highest principal number $n=2$ and the highest partial angular momentum $l=3\left(1 \mathrm{~s}^{2}, 1 \mathrm{~s} 2 \mathrm{~s}, \ldots, 2 \mathrm{f}^{2}\right)$ for about $10^{-5}$ hartree precision.

For $\mathrm{H}^{-}$we use a $12 \mathrm{~s} 10 \mathrm{p} 5 \mathrm{~d} 3 \mathrm{f} 2 \mathrm{~g}(12 / 10 / 5 / 3 / 2)$ set based on the Bentley and Chipman basis, which includes s- and ptype functions and was used to calculate the $\mathrm{H}^{-}$resonance before. ${ }^{41}$ The contracted 1s orbital is transformed into three primitive functions. In addition, the basis is augmented with the d -, f-, and g-type functions of the aug-pcJ-4 basis set. ${ }^{42}$ Convergence of the resonance energy with respect to the number of diffuse basis functions is ensured by further augmenting this basis with two s- and two p-type diffuse functions, which results in a $14 / 12 / 5 / 3 / 2$ basis set.

For $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ we report results obtained by using a 12 s 10 p 3 d 2 f (12/10/3/2) basis, which is based on the 5ZP basis set. ${ }^{43}$ In this basis we replace the most diffuse $s$-function with six diffuse functions, and the most diffuse p-function with seven diffuse functions, where an even-tempered spacing of 2.0 was employed for their construction. In addition, the most tight d- and f-type functions where replaced with diffuse functions. This basis is referred to as Basisl. Basis set convergence is ensured by comparing this basis set results with two other bases. First, to make sure we obtain convergence with respect to the number of diffuse basis functions, we compare the 12 / $10 / 3 / 2$ results with the ones obtained with a similar basis in which the most diffuse $s$ and p functions are removed (a $11 / 9 /$ $3 / 2$ basis set). We observe convergence within $10^{-8}$ hartree for
the resonance energy between the two bases. Second, we examined a denser 12/9/3/2 basis set, in which the three most diffuse s-functions of the original 5ZP basis set are replaced with eight diffuse functions (with even-tempered spacing of 2.0), everything else is similar to the $11 / 9 / 3 / 2$ basis set. This basis is referred to as Basis2. Below, we report results obtained by Basis1 and Basis2.

All the bases exponents are presented in the Supporting Information.

## 4. NUMERICAL APPLICATIONS

4.1. Helium Autoionization Feshbach Resonance: A Comprehensive Test Case. Because helium is a well-studied and simple system, ${ }^{39}$ the helium $2 s^{2}$ state can serve as a comprehensive test case, for which we can study autoionization processes and explore the capabilities of new computational schemes. Furthermore, helium is a two-electron system; hence, it is easy to calculate this resonance position and width using FCI and UCS. Doing so, no approximation is done on the electronic structure and a pure comparison to a new method can be performed. Therefore, in our study this calculation was used as a reference point. Such a comparison for the helium $2 s^{2}$ resonance can be seen in Figure 4. In this figure, optimal cusps from both UCS calculations and our analytical continuation calculation are presented. For the analytical continuation calculations the cusps were obtained through the iterative procedure described in section 2.3. A similar iterative scheme, in which FCI calculations were performed in each step, was carried out for the UCS calculation. A remarkable agreement between the UCS calculations and our analytical continuation is clearly observed.

In Figure 4b two cusps are displayed. One cusp was obtained by using region 2 in Figure 1a as the starting point for the Pade analytical continuation. The converged scaling parameters of the Pade cusp were then used as starting points for the UCS iterative calculations. Doing so, a UCS cusp was also obtained. The two cusps were in excellent agreement, both in their scaling parameters and in their position and width. In other words, the Pade approximant was able to produce a very accurate $\alpha$ - and $\theta$-trajectories based on the real stabilization plot.

In Figure $4 c$ two cusps are displayed for the Pade analytical continuation. This time, the cusps were obtained using region 1 in Figure la as the starting point for the Pade approximant. Each cusp represented another resonance stationary energy, where the two energies differ by $2 \times 10^{-4}$ hartree for the imaginary part, and by $4 \times 10^{-5}$ hartree for the real part. Similarly, in Figure 4d two cusps are also displayed for the UCS calculations. Again, each cusp represented another resonance stationary energy where these two energies are within less than $10^{-4}$ hartree difference for both real and imaginary parts. It is important to note that the UCS cusps were obtained as described above. That is, the converged scaling parameters of the Pade cusps were used as starting points for the UCS iterative calculations.

A good summary of Figure $4 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{d}$ is displayed in Figure 4a. This figure demonstrates the proximity of the Pade results to the UCS ones. Each stationary point obtained by the UCS calculations has an analogues point obtained by the Padé approximant, where in all cases there is a good agreement between them. In fact, the distance between each cusp couple was calculated and was found to be $1.4 \times 10^{-4}, 1.1 \times 10^{-4}$, and $2.5 \times 10^{-4}$ hartree for the black, red, and green cusps,


Figure 5. 3D plot and the corresponding 2D contour plot of $|\partial(E-i \Gamma) / \partial \theta|(a, c)$ and $|\partial(E-i \Gamma) / \partial \alpha|(b, d)$ of the $2 s^{2}$ helium resonance as a function of $\alpha$ and $\theta$. White arrows mark the complex stationary solutions, for which the complex derivative is minimal. These points are associated with the cusps in Figure 4. Note the narrow analytical paths that go from the real axis to the complex plane. A gray dashed line demonstrates such a path. This path starts from a certain area in the real stabilization plot and goes to the complex plane through the three stationary points.
respectively. Recalling the fact that the Pade procedure is a fast and simple computational scheme, these results are very encouraging.

To better understand how our analytical continuation scheme works, a thorough investigation was done using the UCS calculations. During this investigation, the complex eigenvalue associated with $\mathrm{He}\left(2 s^{2}\right)$ resonance was calculated at 720 different scaling parameters ( $\theta$ and $\alpha$ ). Figure 5 represents the absolute value of the eigenvalue derivative at these points in both 3D and 2D contour plots. Figure 5a and 5c display this derivative with respect to $\theta$, whereas Figure 5 b and 5d display this derivative with respect to $\alpha$. Looking at the figures, the theory behind our analytical continuation becomes clear. First, it is obvious that for small $\theta$ 's, there are regions in the derivatives (with respect to $\alpha$ and $\theta$ ) that exhibit very large values. These values are associated with the BPs and are forbidden areas for analytical continuations. Yet, it is also quite clear that in spite of these forbidden areas, there are analytical
paths that start on the real scaling parameter axis (i.e., $\theta=0$ ) and end up in stationary points in the complex plane. Moreover, there are even paths that lead from one resonance stationary solution to another. An example of such a path is the gray dashed line in Figure 5c,d. This path starts from region 2 in the stabilization plot of Figure 1a and goes through each stationary point that was found in Figure 4. That is, this investigation is a numerical illustration for the possibility to find analytical paths from areas in the standard stabilization calculations to the complex stationary points.

Another interesting point that can be seen in Figure 5 is that the entrance to the complex plane from the real axis always starts as a very narrow path. In fact, these passages occur only because the calculation employs a finite basis set. For an infinite basis set the passages will close down, because as the basis set approaches completeness the number of avoided crossing increases, and the singularity area in the complex plane
increases too. That is, there is no way to analytically dilate the single eigenvalue and avoid the BPs, the passes are close.

The existence of only narrow paths in a finite basis set framework indicates that a careful search for these analytical paths in the complex parameter plane ( $\alpha$ and $\theta$ ) is required and still, the Pade approximant has no problem locating these paths. However, in the absence of such analytical paths the method presented here is not applicable and one may use the analytical continuation of the characteristic polynomials as described in ref 17 .

Figure 6 is another illustration to the information in Figure 5. In this figure we show a 3 D plot of the helium $2 \mathrm{~s}^{2}$ complex


Figure 6. 3D plot of the helium $2 s^{2}$ complex eigenvalue as $\theta$ is varied. This plot shows $\alpha$-trajectories at different fixed $\theta$ 's. The stationary solutions (cusps in the $\alpha$-trajectories) are clearly seen for larger values of $\theta$, and it is clear that they can all be connected through certain paths. For rather small $\theta$ a large dispersion in the complex eigenvalue is shown. However, the paths that connect the different cusps start from a relatively dense area marked by the warmer colors (red-yellow). These areas correspond to the stable part of the stabilization plot in Figure 1a (the red and green region there).
eigenvalue as $\theta$ is varied; i.e., we show $\alpha$-trajectories at different fixed $\theta$ 's. In this figure we examine the complex eigenvalue itself, unlike in Figure 5 where the derivative of the complex eigenvalue was examined. It is clear that cusps are obtained in the $\alpha$-trajectory calculations as $\theta$ becomes larger. However, it is also clear that there are paths connecting the different cusps and that these paths start from very specific regions. These regions are the stable parts of the $\alpha$-trajectory at small $\theta$ 's, where the eigenvalues are relatively close to one another. In other words, these paths go to the stable part of the stabilization plot. In Figure 6 these paths are indicated in the warm colors (red-yellow), whereas in Figure 5 such a path is marked by the gray dashed line.
4.2. Autoionization Feshbach Resonance of the Hydrogen Atomic Anion. To further examine our Pade analytical continuation scheme, we calculate the $2 s^{2}$ Feshbach resonance of the hydrogen atomic anion. The results are presented in Table 1 where they are compared to experimental and other theoretical works. An excellent agreement with these estimations is observed, as our results are well within the experimental errors. Furthermore, the energy position in this work is lower by $2 \times 10^{-4}$ hartree from other theoretical works, and the width is only $1.5 \times 10^{-4}$ hartree lower than Ho's ${ }^{44}$ and Chen's ${ }^{45}$ results, whereas it is $2 \times 10^{-4}$ hartree higher than the width obtained by Bravaya et al. ${ }^{12}$ Additional computational details and further results can be found in the Supporting Information.

Table 1. Real Part of the Energy ( $\operatorname{ReE}$ ) and Width ( $\Gamma$ ) of the $2 \mathbf{s}^{2}$ Feshbach Resonance of $\mathrm{H}^{-}$in hartree

| ref | -ReE | $\Gamma \times 10^{-3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Experiment |  |  |
| McGowan (1967) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $0.1485 \pm 0.0004$ | $1.6 \pm 0.2$ |
| William (1976) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $0.1488 \pm 0.0004$ | $1.65 \pm 0.2$ |
| Theory |  |  |
| Ho (1981) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $0.1487765 \pm 0.000002$ | $1.731 \pm 0.0008$ |
| Chen (1997) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 0.148782 | 1.72 |
| Bravaya et al. (2013) ${ }^{e}$ | 0.1488 | 1.38 |
| Present work (Padé) | $0.14855 \pm 0.00001$ | $1.56 \pm 0.03$ |
| ${ }^{a}$ Reference 46. ${ }^{b}$ Reference 47. ${ }^{c}$ Reference 44. ${ }^{d}$ Reference 45 ${ }^{e}$ Reference 12. |  |  |

4.3. Autoionization Feshbach Resonance of the Hydrogen Molecule. Calculating molecular resonance is a complicated task, which poses a challenge on the regular complex scaling methods. ${ }^{2,4,21,32,33}$ Therefore, it is important to test the Padé analytical continuation scheme presented here on a molecular system such as the hydrogen $1 \sigma_{\mathrm{u}}^{2}$ molecular resonance. This Feshbach resonance was calculated at an internuclear distance of $R=1.4$ au for which there are several calculations available for comparison. Note that in the molecular case, when the basis functions exponents are scaled by the real factor, $\alpha$, the electronic coordinates of the Gaussian basis functions are shifted from their centers, $A_{j}$. That is, every one of the Gaussian basis functions is scaled as, $G\left(\vec{r}_{i}-\vec{A}_{j}\right) \rightarrow$ $G\left(\left[\vec{r}_{i}-\vec{A}_{j}\right] / \alpha\right)$.

In Figure 7 an optimal cusp for hydrogen $1 \sigma_{\mathrm{u}}^{2}$ molecular resonance is shown using Basis1 (see section 3). This cusp was


Figure 7. $\alpha$-trajectory (black) and $\theta$-trajectory (red) obtained from our analytical continuation scheme for the $\mathrm{H}_{2}(R=1.4 \mathrm{au}) .1 \sigma_{\mathrm{u}}^{2}$ autoionizing resonance. In this figure an obvious cusp is seen (blue arrow), indicating a stationary point at $\theta=0.18125$ and $\alpha=0.658$. The $\alpha$-trajectory and $\theta$-trajectory overlap at the cusp, as clearly shown in the inset.
obtained through analytical continuation of the stable region in the stabilization plot of this resonance (marked in red in Figure 1b).
Table 2 presents our results using both Basis1 and Basis2, where Basis2 is a denser basis than Basis1 (see section 3). We observed relatively minor effect when comparing these basis sets. The difference for the real part was in the order of 0.0018 hartree whereas there was no difference in the imaginary part.

Table 2. Real Part of the Energy (ReE) and Width ( $\Gamma$ ) of the $1 \sigma_{\mathrm{u}}^{2}$ Feshbach Resonance of $\mathrm{H}_{2}(R=1.4 \mathrm{au})$ in hartree ${ }^{a}$

| method | $\operatorname{Re} E$ | $\Gamma$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| complex CI $^{b}$ | 0.4630 | 0.0272 |
| CMCSCF $^{b}$ | 0.4638 | 0.0270 |
| RF-CAP $^{c}$ | 0.4615 | 0.0227 |
| Basis1 (Padé) | $0.4614 \pm 0.0001$ | $0.0232 \pm 0.0001$ |
| Basis2 (Padé) | $0.4601 \pm 0.00001$ | $0.0231 \pm 0.0004$ |
| ${ }^{d} \operatorname{Re~} E$ is presented | with respect to the $\mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{d}$ ground state energy |  |
| $\left(-0.56994\right.$ hartree). ${ }^{b}$ Reference $48 .{ }^{c}$ Reference $8 .{ }^{d}$ Present work. |  |  |

In Table 2 we compare our results with other theoretical works. Our results are in an excellent agreement with these works, particularly, with the RF-CAP. ${ }^{8}$ A good agreement with the complex CI and complex multiconfiguration self-consistent fields (CMCSCF) methods is also observed. ${ }^{48}$

## 5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this paper, we demonstrate that a single-eigenvalue curve taken from standard stabilization plots can be analytically dilate into the complex plane. On this basis, we suggest a simple procedure that accurately locates resonance positions and widths. Hence, it opens up a new way to calculate CEPS utilizing standard electronic-structure codes. This method was successfully tested in calculating the helium $2 s^{2}$ Feshbach resonance state and was compared with explicit UCS results, yielding an excellent agreement. The success of our approach implies that it is possible to analytically dilate the energy eigenvalue itself without making any assumptions about the BP structure, as done before. ${ }^{17,28-30}$

In addition, an in-depth analysis was presented. In this context, numerical illustration clearly indicated the presence of analytical paths from the real axis to the complex plane when using finite basis sets. These paths start from the stable region in the standard stabilization plot. They continue through a very narrow passage surrounded by huge barriers that represent the avoided crossings and BP areas. Finally, these paths end up in valleys of stationary points.

Because these paths are analytical, they can be traced using the Padé approximant. In this work the Padé approximant was generated by the Schlessinger point method. ${ }^{38}$ The input points for this analytical continuation were taken only from the stable part in the stabilization plots. In this way, it is simple to infiltration through the analytical paths and find the resonance stationary points. It is important to note that this procedure fails when input points are taken from the whole eigenvalue curve. ${ }^{17}$

We showed that the success of the proposed approach is an outcome of our shortcomings: the mandatory use of finite basis sets in numerical calculations makes the existence of a BP in the complex plane a rare occasion. In this case, analytical paths from the stabilization plot to the stationary point emerge.

Finally, we implemented our approach on different chemical systems. In addition to helium, the Feshbach resonance energy of the hydrogen $2 s^{2}$ atomic anion was calculated as well as the molecular Feshbach $1 \sigma_{\mathrm{u}}^{2}$ resonance of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$. The results for the hydrogen $2 s^{2}$ atomic anion were in an excellent agreement with experimental and other theoretical evaluations, whereas the results for the $\mathrm{H}_{2} 1 \sigma_{\mathrm{u}}^{2}$ resonance were in an excellent agreement with other calculations performed explicitly in the complex plane.

In spite of the above, we do not claim that our approach avoids the need to develop and use other methods. The reason for this is the fact that a region stable enough for a successful analytical continuation is not always guaranteed. It is recommended to first verify the conjecture of analyticity at certain geometries, particularly in the calculations of molecular autoionization resonances. This can be done by comparing the results with other methods. As the next step, one can use the method we proposed for calculating the entire CPES.

To sum it up, here we open a new possibility for calculating atomic and molecular autoionization resonances in a very simple manner by using standard and available $a b$ initio codes, which substantially lower the computational efforts in comparison with non-herimitian electronic structure codes. The method we present here is limited to the calculations of narrow isolated resonances, because broad and overlapping resonances will not yield stabilization graphs. Therefore, these types of resonances should be calculated by other means, such as complex basis sets or by introducing CAPs into the molecular Hamiltonian.
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